

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/483,388	01/13/2000	Chun R. Xia	BRIGP002	8312	
22830	7590 03/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	RRELL LLP		POND, ROBERT M		
2200 GENG R PALO ALTO,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3625		
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\	•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
\bigcirc	09/483,388	XIA ET AL.	V
→ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert M. Pond	3625	
The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the cover sheet v	I	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOy will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>04 October</u> 2004.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-33</u> is/are pen	ding in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-33</u> is/are reje	cted.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 October</u>		objected to by the Examiner.	
	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	and the control of th	3 (4) (4) (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.		
	documents have been received in	Application No	
	of the priority documents have been)
application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	7 PTO/SB/08) 5) \(\bigcup \text{Notice of } \) Other: \(\bigcup \)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

All pending claims (1-12 and 14-33) were examined in this non-final office action necessitated by new grounds of rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments field 04 October 2004 with respect to claim 1-12 and 14-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

 Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The Applicant is claiming data structure in a computer readable medium.

Claims to computer-related inventions that are clearly nonstatutory fall into the same general categories as nonstatutory claims in other arts, namely natural, phenomena such as magnetism, and abstract ideas or laws of nature that constitute "descriptive material." Abstract ideas, Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759, or the mere manipulation of abstract ideas, Schrader, 22

Art Unit: 3625

F.3d at 292-93, 30 USPQ2d at 1457-58, are not patentable. Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs that impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

Both types of "descriptive material" are nonstatutory when claimed as descriptive material per se. Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759. When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir.1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it is not statutory since

Art Unit: 3625

no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material stored in a computer-readable medium does not make it statutory. Such a result would exalt form over substance. In re Sarkar, 588 F.2d 1330, 1333, 200 USPQ 132, 137 (CCPA 1978) ("[E]ach invention must be evaluated as claimed; yet semantogenic considerations preclude a determination based solely on words appearing in the claims. In the final analysis under 101, the claimed invention, as a whole, must be evaluated for what it is.") (quoted with approval in Abele, 684 F.2d at 907, 214 USPQ at 687). See also In re Johnson, 589 F.2d 1070, 1077, 200 USPQ 199, 206 (CCPA 1978) ("form of the claim is often an exercise in drafting"). Thus, nonstatutory music is not a computer component and it does not become statutory by merely recording it on a compact disk. Protection for this type of work is provided under the copyright law.

Please Note

For examination purposes only for this office action and in light of the specification, the Examiner interpreted computer program product in the preamble as: computer program product code store therein on a computer readable medium and executable on a computer for providing an electronic marketing presentation.

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

 Claims 1-12 and 14-33 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Ginter et al. (US 5,910,987, hereinafter referred to as "Ginter").

Ginter teaches all the limitations of Claims 1-12 and 14-33. For example, Ginter discloses distributing digital content using an electronic container to a first party or multiple parties, digital content comprising marketing promotions, advertisements, or other intellectual property (see at least Fig. 1 (100); col. 32, lines 45-48; col. 257, lines 13-25). Ginter further discloses:

• renting a marketing object container to a first party, presented in a web page associated with a second party: rental as a basis of usage of an electronic object container distributed in a virtual distribution environment (see at least col. 2, line 24 through col. 8, line 35; col. 23, line 65 through col. 24, line 5); object container (see at least col. 7, line 31 through col. 8, line 4; col. 10, lines 58-63); content associated with second party (see at least col. 2, lines 38-46); web page (see at least col. 100, lines 31-37).

Application/Control Number: 09/483,388

Art Unit: 3625

• <u>selecting by the first party, marketing attributes configured to describe</u>

<u>what marketing objects can be received by the marketing object container:</u>

(see at least Fig. 84 (3400); col. 309, line 36 through col. 311, line 12).

Page 6

- <u>sending the selected marketing attribute to be automatically associated</u>
 <u>with the marketing object container:</u> (see at least Fig. 84 (3400); col. 309, line 36 through col. 311, line 12).
- <u>Third party association:</u> (see at least Fig. 84).
- Revenue: content providers and distributors (second and third parties)
 receive royalties for content usage as noted above (see at least Figs. 79-83; col. 8, lines 17-24; col. 253, lines 22-24; col. 303, line 65 through col. 304, line 65).
- <u>timing:</u> subscription-based content delivery (please note examiner's interpretation: daily, weekly, monthly, yearly); monthly usage (see at least col. 289, lines 5-20; col. 301, lines 20-23).
- program product: (see at least col. 6, lines 27 through col. 7, line 30).

Pertaining to system Claim 15

Rejection of Claims 15 is based on the same rationale as noted above.

**Pertaining to computer program product Claims 16 and 17

Rejection of Claims 16 and 17 is based on the same rationale as noted above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Pond whose telephone number is 703-605-4253. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert M. Pond Primary Examiner 14 March 2005